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Radiation in Medicine

- Patients exposed to radiation:
  - Research
  - Practice
- Occupational radiation exposure (not addressed in this paper)

Radiation in Medicine

- Day 1 – Anna Ludwig Roentgen’s ring:
Radiation in Medical Research

1949 Nuremberg Code:

– The first principle of which is that voluntary consent of human research subjects is required prior to participation in research.
Informed Consent:

1932- U.S. Navy required that subjects for proposed experiments be “informed volunteers.”

1950s- Committee on Medical Research (subsequently NIH) decreed “where risks are involved, volunteers only should be utilized as subjects and these only after signed statements have been obtained.” [for normals rather than patient-subjects]


- Included the principal of consent and expanded that principal to issues of coercion and study of individuals who are legally incompetent.
- IAEA (BSS): human radiation exposure in medical research is not justified unless:
  - a) in accordance with the provisions of the Helsinki Declaration,
  - b) follows the guidelines of Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences and World Health Organization, and
  - c) subject to advice of an Ethical Review Committee (e.g. IRB) and to applicable national and local regulations.
Radiation in Medical Research

1974 Belmont Report: U.S. National Research Act creating the National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research:

–Identified the primary ethical principles that would become the basis for the conduct of biomedical and behavioral research – The Belmont Report.

Belmont Report Ethical Principles

Respect for persons

-Dignity and freedom of every person
- Informed consent from all potential research subjects or their legally authorized representatives

Beneficence

-Researchers maximize benefits and minimize harm
-Research related risks must be reasonable in light of expected benefits
Justice
-Equitable selection, recruitment and fair treatment of research subjects

Autonomy
-Patients’ right to information
-Patients’ right to accept or reject treatment

National Bioethics Advisory Commission

• Established by President Clinton in 1995 to address allegations of unethical practices in government-sponsored research experiments involving human subjects from 1944 to 1994.
  This investigation was stimulated by reports criticizing experiments in concentration camps (freezing, infectious diseases, methods of killing)

• Also the result of the widely publicized Tuskegee Study by USPHS.
Institutional Review Board

• A central protection for research participants is the guarantee that someone other than the investigator will assess the risks of the proposed research.

  *No one should participate in research unless independent review concludes that the risks are reasonable in relation to the potential benefits.*

• In US, IRB is principle structure responsible for conducting such reviews.

Radiation in Medical Research

- Radiation, e.g. x-rays or nuclear scans, may be used to measure the effect of an experimental agent or procedure, e.g. new therapeutic drug, placement of catheter.

- There is no specific dose limits for these protocols, but the radiation is applied as a “routine” diagnostic test (standard of practice). Cannot combine “experimental” radiation with “experimental” agent.

- Assumption: human subject receives no benefit; radiation must be justified & optimized.

- If radiation is experimental, it must be justified but not necessarily optimized e.g. new radiopharmaceutical.
Radiation in Medical Research

- FDA Regulation on Use of Radioactive Drugs in Research: Describes the conditions under which radioactive drug research may be conducted (21 CFR Part 361).

Example of Major Benefit from Radiation in Research

- Development of angioplasty:
  - Benefits:
    - Eliminates risk from open heart surgery.
    - Rapidly reopens heart arteries in patients having heart attacks.
    - Opens heart arteries in patients with blocked arteries likely to result in heart attack.
  - Risks:
    - Predicted radiation doses from a few mSv to a few Gy
      - Deterministic: skin burns
      - Stochastic: low risk of cancer (up to ~ 1% increase)
Example of Major Benefit from Radiation in Research

- Development of angioplasty:
  - Complications:
    - 10s of Gy in some early patients.

Results:
- This procedure has since become standard therapy for heart attacks around the world.
- Combined with drug eluting stents, patients live years to decades longer without open heart surgery.
Dilemmas in Research

- How to communicate radiation procedures?
- How to communicate radiation risk?
- Industry protocols that demand radiation procedures, e.g. extra CT to measure tumor growth.

Radiation in Medical Research

- How to communicate procedure?

  “The essential fatty acid that will be infused into your body will be labeled with a radioactive substance called tritium. The amount of tritiated octadecatrienoic acid perfused through microdialysis tubing into your subclavian artery will be 0.1 uCi/ml up to a total of 2.0 uCi.”
How to communicate risk?

“I understand that I will be injected with either 1 or 100 uCi of the radioactive compound used in this study. The radiation dose that I will receive from this study includes either 2.2 mrem (mrem is a measure of the radiation dose) or 220 mrem to my urinary bladder. The rest of my body will receive a radiation dose that is less that the daily dose that I receive from natural background radiation (radiation that I receive from cosmic rays, radioactive materials present in the earth’s crust and radioactive materials normally present in my body). Although unlikely, this increases my risk of developing bladder cancer.”

Or:

I understand that I will be injected with a small amount of radioactive drug as a result of my participation in this study. The amount of radiation I will receive is well below the level that results in significant risk of harmful effects.
Radiation in Medical Practice

Principles of Medical Ethics in Radiation Practice

- The Four Principles*
  - Autonomy (right to make own choice)
  - Beneficence (acting in best interest of patient)
  - Non-Maleficence ("above all, do no harm")
  - Justice (emphasizes fairness and equality among individuals)

*The Four Principles, originally devised by Beauchamp and Childress in their textbook *Principles of Biomedical Ethics* (Oxford University Press, 2001), are considered by many as the standard theoretical framework from which to analyze ethical situations in medicine.
By definition:
- Intentional exposure to potential harmful agent.
- Exposure results in direct benefit to patient.

Principles of Protection (ICRP 103)
- Justification (cornerstone of ICRP and IAEA ethical philosophy; sanctions a utilitarian ethic, i.e. to maximize benefits to the majority of people vs. egalitarian ethic, i.e. equal protection of all people).
- Optimization (optimize protection and economics).

Principles of Protection (ICRP 103)
- Justification of a procedure:
  - Professional bodies and regulators.
- Justification of use in a patient:
  - Decision made by healthcare provider.
  - Procedure will result in benefit to patient.
  - Avoid unnecessary exposures in diagnostic and interventional procedures.
  - Avoid unnecessary exposure of healthy tissues in radiation therapy.
Essentially individual patient centered

Beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice defined in terms of individual patient:

- How does patient define “good”?
- How does patient define “harm”?
- Justice ensures that each patient has access to equivalent goods based solely on need.

Patient release following thyroid therapy with I-131:

- Without consent, members of public receive radiation exposure from patients who were treated and released (not hospitalized). This benefits:
  - General public (very successful method to treat thyroid disease).
  - Reduces cost (benefits patient and payer).
  - Improves well being of many patients.

- Patients expected to follow instructions designed to minimize public exposure but no enforcement.
  - Patient may become ill on auto journey home and stays in hotel against instructions of physician.
Patient release following thyroid therapy with I-131:

**Release Limits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Activity (MBq)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USA*</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*May be exceeded if dose estimate to public does not exceed 5 mSv

---

**Summary**

Research in which human subjects are exposed to radiation must be conducted in accordance with requirements of:

- Declaration of Helsinki
- IAEA
- WHO
- ICRP
Summary

- In United States must satisfy (Belmont):
  - Respect for person (dignity, informed consent),
  - Beneficence (maximize benefits, minimize harm),
  - Justice (fairness),
  - Autonomy (patients’ right to information and to accept or reject treatment).

Summary

- Radiation procedures and individual patient/subject exposures must be:
  - Justified (a utilitarian ethic)
  - Optimized (a utilitarian ethic that considers dose, social values, and economics)
Summary

- The Four Ethical Principles for Practice
  - Autonomy (right to make own choice)
  - Beneficence (acting in best interest of patient)
  - Non-Maleficence ("above all, do no harm")
  - Justice (emphasizes fairness and equality among individuals)

THE END